

Recall from last time:

$$\begin{cases} \tau^0_0 \equiv -(\bar{\rho} + \delta\rho) \\ \tau^i_0 \equiv -(\bar{\rho} + \bar{p})\bar{v}^i \\ \tau^i_j \equiv (\bar{p} + \delta p)\delta^i_j + \Pi^i_j \end{cases} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{--- } v^i \text{ --- bulk velocity} \\ \text{--- } \Pi^i_j \text{ --- anisotropic stress} \\ \Pi^i_i = 0 \end{array}$$

$$\delta\rho = \sum_a \delta\rho_a$$

$$\delta p = \sum_a \delta p_a$$

$$q^i = \sum_a q^i_a$$

$$\Pi^{ij} = \sum_a \Pi^{ij}_a$$

We can apply an SVT decomposition to these perturbations of τ .

$$\begin{cases} \delta\rho \mapsto \delta\rho \\ \delta p \mapsto \delta p \end{cases} \quad \text{scalar parts only} \quad \begin{cases} v_i = \partial_i v + \hat{v}_i \\ q_i = \partial_i q + \hat{q}_i \end{cases}$$

$$\text{Def.}^\Delta (\text{Velocity divergence}) \quad \theta := \partial_i v^i$$

$$\Pi_{ij} = \partial_{\langle i} \partial_{j \rangle} \Pi + \partial_{(i} \hat{\Pi}_{j)} + \hat{\Pi}_{ij}$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{Def.}^\Delta (\text{Rescaled scalar anisotropic stress, in Fourier space}) \quad \Pi_{ij}(\mathcal{H}, \vec{k}) \subset -(\bar{p} + \bar{p}) \hat{k}_{(i} \hat{k}_{j)} \Pi(\mathcal{H}, \vec{k})$$

$$\text{Def.}^\Delta (\text{Density Contrast}) \quad \delta := \frac{\delta\rho}{\bar{\rho}} \quad \leftarrow \text{PT applies if } \delta \ll 1$$

~ Coordinate Transformations ~

$$\text{Consider } x^\mu \mapsto \tilde{x}^\mu, \text{ then } \tau^\mu_\nu \text{ transforms as } \tau^\mu_\nu(x) = \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \tilde{x}^\alpha} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\beta}{\partial x^\nu} \tilde{\tau}^\alpha_\beta(\tilde{x})$$

$$\text{If we think of } \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \tilde{x}^\alpha} \text{ as a matrix, it is the inverse of } \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\alpha}{\partial x^\mu} \quad \left(\text{since } \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \tilde{x}^\alpha} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\alpha}{\partial x^\nu} = \delta^\mu_\nu \right)$$

Recall that we have

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\alpha}{\partial x^\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \tau' & \partial_j \tau \\ L^{i'} & \delta^i_j + \partial_j L^i \end{pmatrix}$$

Using the fact that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 + \varepsilon & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & 1 + \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \varepsilon & -\varepsilon \\ -\varepsilon & 1 - \varepsilon \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{to first order in } \varepsilon.$$

$$\frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \tilde{x}^\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - \tau' & -\partial_j \tau \\ -L^{i'} & \delta^i_j - \partial_j L^i \end{pmatrix}$$

P.T.O

From the transformation of T^μ_ν we can derive the following results:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \delta\rho &\mapsto \delta\rho - \bar{\rho}' T \\ \delta P &\mapsto \delta P - \bar{P}' T \\ q_i &\mapsto q_i + (\bar{\rho} + \bar{P}) L_i' \\ v_i &\mapsto v_i + L_i' \\ \Pi_{ij} &\mapsto \Pi_{ij} \end{aligned} \right\} \rightarrow \text{behaves in the same way as a perturbation of a scalar field, since } \rho \text{ and } P \text{ are Lorentz scalars.}$$

As an example we derive the result of $\delta\bar{\rho}$

$$\begin{aligned} T^0_0(x) &= \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \tilde{x}^\alpha} \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\beta}{\partial \eta} \tilde{T}^\alpha_\beta(\tilde{x}) \\ &= \frac{\partial \eta}{\partial \tilde{\eta}} \frac{\partial \tilde{\eta}}{\partial \eta} \tilde{T}^0_0(\tilde{x}) + O(2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \bar{\rho} + \delta\rho &= (1-T')(1+T')(\bar{\rho}(\eta+T) + \delta\bar{\rho}(x)) \\ &= \bar{\rho}(\eta) + \bar{\rho}' T + \delta\bar{\rho}(x) + O(2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \delta\bar{\rho} = \delta\rho - \bar{\rho}' T$$

I will aim to do the rest of these as an exercise.

—

We again look for gauge-invariant variables;

- Comoving density contrast $\bar{\rho}\Delta := \delta\rho + \bar{\rho}'(v+B)$

- reduces to the density contrast in comoving frame ($v, B=0$)
- using $\bar{\rho}\Delta$ we can write the relativistic generalisation of the Poisson equation takes the same form as in the Newtonian approx. $\nabla^2\Phi = 4\pi G a^2 \bar{\rho}\Delta$ where Φ is the previously defined Bardeen potential

- We can define:

$$\mathcal{S} = -C + \frac{1}{3}\nabla^2 E + \mathcal{H} \frac{\delta\rho}{\bar{\rho}}$$

$$\mathcal{R} = -C + \frac{1}{3}\nabla^2 E - \mathcal{H}(v+B)$$

These are **curvature perturbations**

- they reduce to intrinsic curvature of the spatial slices in the uniform density and comoving gauges, respectively.

$\Delta, S, \mathcal{R}$ obey the following relation: $S = \mathcal{R} + \frac{\mathcal{H}}{\bar{\rho}} \bar{p} \Delta$

From the Poisson eqⁿ: $S \xrightarrow{k \ll \mathcal{H}} \mathcal{R} \Rightarrow$ on large scales we can treat S and $\mathcal{R}$ interchangeably.

Curvature perturbations are constant on superhorizon scales if the matter perturbations are "adiabatic".

—//—